



## City Research Online

### City, University of London Institutional Repository

---

**Citation:** Linckelmann, M. (2002). Quillen stratification for block varieties. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 172(2-3), pp. 257-270. doi: 10.1016/S0022-4049(01)00143-8

This is the unspecified version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

---

**Permanent repository link:** <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/1912/>

**Link to published version:** [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4049\(01\)00143-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4049(01)00143-8)

**Copyright:** City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

**Reuse:** Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

# QUILLEN STRATIFICATION FOR BLOCK VARIETIES

MARKUS LINCKELMANN

May 2001

ABSTRACT. The classical results on stratifications for cohomology varieties of finite groups and their modules due to Quillen [19, 20] and Avrunin-Scott [3] carry over to the varieties associated with finitely-generated modules over  $p$ -blocks of finite groups, introduced in [16].

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper,  $k$  is an algebraically closed field of prime characteristic  $p$ . By a Theorem of Evens [12] and Venkov [22, 23], the cohomology ring  $H^*(G, k)$  of a finite group  $G$  is a finitely generated graded commutative  $k$ -algebra. Thus its maximal ideal spectrum  $V_G$  is an affine variety. Quillen showed in [19, 20] that this variety has a stratification indexed by the conjugacy classes of non trivial elementary abelian  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$ . The cohomology variety  $V_G(M)$  of a finitely generated  $kG$ -module  $M$ , introduced by Carlson [9, 10], is the maximal ideal spectrum of the quotient of  $H^*(G, k)$  by the kernel of the canonical graded algebra homomorphism  $H^*(G, k) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_G^*(M, M)$  induced by tensoring with  $M$  over  $k$ . Avrunin and Scott showed in [3] that  $V_G(M)$  has a similar stratification, generalising Quillen's results on  $V_G$ .

Since the definition of  $V_G(M)$  involves the cohomology ring  $H^*(G, k)$  - which is an invariant of the principal block of  $kG$  - , if  $M$  belongs to a non principal block  $b$  of  $kG$ , the variety  $V_G(M)$  is not in general an invariant of  $M$  viewed as  $kGb$ -module. This motivates in [16] the definition of a variety  $V_{G,b}(M)$ , obtained as the maximal ideal spectrum of the quotient of the *block cohomology*  $H^*(G, b)$  by the kernel of the canonical map  $H^*(G, b) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{kGb}^*(M, M)$  defined in [15]. It is shown in [16, 4.4] that there is a finite surjective morphism  $V_{G,b}(M) \rightarrow V_G(M)$ ; in particular, by a Theorem of Alperin and Evens [2], the dimension of  $V_{G,b}(M)$  is equal to the complexity of  $M$ . The above morphism  $V_{G,b}(M) \rightarrow V_G(M)$  is an isomorphism if  $b$  is the principal block. Moreover, by [16, 5.5], the variety  $V_{G,b}(M)$  is invariant under splendid stable, derived or Morita equivalences.

The main result of Section 2 provides a way to compute the block varieties  $V_{G,b}(M)$  in terms of the truncated restriction  $iM$  of  $M$ , where  $i$  is a source idempotent in  $(kGb)^P$  for some defect group  $P$  of  $b$  and where  $iM$  is viewed as  $kP$ -module. Section 3 contains the technicalities related to the Evens norm map, which we are going to use in Section 4 in order to see that the proof of the stratification of Avrunin and

Scott for  $V_G(M)$  in [3] can be adapted to get a stratification for  $V_{G,b}(M)$ , which coincides with that of  $V_G(M)$  if  $b$  is the principal block of  $kG$ . Section 5 is finally devoted to describing an example which shows that it really matters to work with the truncated restriction  $\text{Res}_P(iM)$  and not just  $\text{Res}_P^G(M)$ . This is because both the block cohomology  $H^*(G, b)$  and the variety  $V_{G,b}(M)$  are defined with respect to the choice of a source idempotent  $i$ , uniquely up to unique isomorphism.

*Acknowledgements.* The author would like to thank D. Benson and J. Rickard for some very helpful conversations.

## 2 BLOCK VARIETIES AND SOURCE IDEMPOTENTS

We describe briefly some aspects of the local structure of a block of a finite group in terms of Brauer pairs, introduced by Alperin and Broué in [1], and developed further in work of Broué and Puig [7] and Puig [18] (see Thévenaz [21] for a more detailed account).

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $b$  a block of  $kG$ ; that is,  $b$  is a primitive idempotent of  $Z(kG)$ . Let  $P$  be a defect group of  $b$ . Then  $P$  is a maximal  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  with the property that  $\text{Br}_P(b) \neq 0$ , where  $\text{Br}_P : (kG)^P \rightarrow kC_G(P)$  is the Brauer homomorphism [21, §11]. Thus there is a primitive idempotent  $i \in (kGb)^P$  satisfying  $\text{Br}_P(i) \neq 0$ ; any such idempotent is called a *source idempotent of the block  $b$* . The algebra  $ikGi$ , together with the group homomorphism  $P \rightarrow (ikGi)^\times$  mapping  $u \in P$  to  $ui$  is a *source algebra of the block  $b$*  (cf. Puig [18]). By [7, 1.8], for any subgroup  $Q$  of  $P$  there is a unique block  $e_Q$  of  $kC_G(Q)$  satisfying  $\text{Br}_Q(i)e_Q = \text{Br}_Q(i)$ .

The category  $\mathcal{F}_{G,b}$  has as objects the set of subgroups of  $P$ ; for any two subgroups  $Q, R$ , the set of morphisms from  $Q$  to  $R$  in  $\mathcal{F}_{G,b}$  is the set of (necessarily injective) group homomorphisms  $\varphi : Q \rightarrow R$  for which there is an element  $x \in G$  satisfying  $\varphi(u) = xux^{-1}$  for all  $u \in Q$  and satisfying  ${}^x(Q, e_Q) \subseteq (R, e_R)$  (the latter condition is equivalent to  $xe_Qx^{-1} = e_{xQx^{-1}}$ ). The category  $\mathcal{F}_{G,b}$  is, up to canonical isomorphism of categories, independent of the choice of the source idempotent  $i$ .

The *block cohomology of the block  $b$  of  $kG$* , introduced in [15], is the graded subalgebra  $H^*(G, b)$  of  $H^*(P, k)$  consisting of all  $\zeta \in H^*(P, k)$  which satisfy the stability conditions  $\text{Res}_Q^P(\zeta) = \text{Res}_\varphi(\zeta)$  for any subgroup  $Q$  of  $P$  and any group homomorphism  $\varphi : Q \rightarrow P$  belonging to the category  $\mathcal{F}_{G,b}$ . In other words,  $H^*(G, b) = \varprojlim H^*(Q, k)$ , where the inverse limit is taken over the category  $\mathcal{F}_{G,b}$ .

The restriction from  $G$  to  $P$  induces a homomorphism  $H^*(G, k) \rightarrow H^*(P, k)$ ; if  $b$  is the principal block of  $kG$ , this is an isomorphism by the characterisation of  $H^*(G, k)$  in terms of stable elements in [11]. Restriction from  $P$  to any subgroup  $Q$  of  $P$  induces a graded algebra homomorphism  $r_Q : H^*(G, b) \rightarrow H^*(Q, k)$  whose image is contained in  $(H^*(Q, k))^{N_G(Q, e_Q)}$ .

The block cohomology algebra  $H^*(G, b)$  is defined with respect to a choice of a defect group  $P$  and a source idempotent  $i$ . Since all pairs consisting of a defect group  $P$  of  $b$  and a  $((kGb)^P)^\times$ -conjugacy class  $\gamma$  of source idempotents  $i$  in  $(kGb)^P$  are transitively permuted by the action of  $G$  by conjugation (cf. [18, 1.2]),  $H^*(G, b)$  is defined in this way uniquely up to isomorphism, and it is in fact unique up to *unique* isomorphism, because the stabiliser  $N_G(P_\gamma)$  of such a pair acts trivially on  $H^*(G, b)$ .

This justifies the notation  $H^*(G, b)$ , which makes no mention of the choice of a source idempotent.

There is a canonical injective graded algebra homomorphism

$$\nu : H^*(G, b) \longrightarrow HH^*(kGb)$$

from the block cohomology into the Hochschild cohomology of the block algebra  $kGb$  (cf. [15]) and for any finitely generated  $kGb$ -module  $M$ , tensoring by  $-\otimes_{kGb} M$  induces a graded algebra homomorphism

$$\alpha_M : HH^*(kGb) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{kGb}^*(M, M) .$$

The variety  $V_{G,b}(M)$  is defined in [16,4.1] as the maximal ideal spectrum of the quotient  $H^*(G, b)$  by the kernel of  $\alpha_M \circ \nu$ . In particular,  $V_{G,b}(M)$  is a subvariety of the maximal ideal spectrum  $V_{G,b}$  of  $H^*(G, b)$ , which is called the *block variety* of the block  $b$ . The *cohomology variety*  $V_G(M)$ , introduced by Carlson [9, 10], is the maximal ideal spectrum of the quotient of  $H^*(G, k)$  by the kernel of the homomorphism  $H^*(G, k) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(M, M)$  induced by the functor  $-\otimes_k M$ . The variety  $V_G(M)$  is a subvariety of the maximal ideal spectrum  $V_G$  of  $H^*(G, k)$ . There is a finite surjective morphism  $V_{G,b}(M) \rightarrow V_G(M)$ , which is an isomorphism if  $b$  is the principal block (cf. [16,4.4]).

Again, this definition of  $V_{G,b}(M)$  depends on the choice of the defect group  $P$  and the source idempotent  $i$ , because both  $H^*(G, b)$  and the algebra homomorphism  $\nu$  depend on this choice. As above,  $V_{G,b}(M)$  is defined in this way uniquely up to unique isomorphism. The definition of  $\nu$  involves the *normalised transfer map*  $T_{kGi} : HH^*(kP) \rightarrow HH^*(kGb)$ , and the welcome consequence of the following Theorem is, that one can compute  $V_{G,b}(M)$  without all this technology (which is, though, needed in the proof):

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $b$  a block of  $kG$ ,  $P$  a defect group of  $b$  and  $i$  a source idempotent of  $b$  in  $(kGb)^P$ . The inclusion  $\iota : H^*(G, b) \rightarrow H^*(P, k)$  induces a finite surjective morphism  $\iota^* : V_P \rightarrow V_{G,b}$ , and for any finitely generated  $kGb$ -module  $M$  we have*

$$V_{G,b}(M) = \iota^*(V_P(iM)) ,$$

where  $iM$  is considered as  $kP$ -module.

*Proof.* By [16, 4.3],  $H^*(P, k)$  is Noetherian as a module over  $H^*(G, b)$ , which implies that  $\iota^*$  is finite surjective. The homomorphism  $\nu$  is, by [15, 5.6(iii)], defined as the unique graded algebra homomorphism which makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(G, b) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & HH^*(kGb) \\ \iota \downarrow & & \uparrow T_{kGi} \\ H^*(P, k) & \xrightarrow{\delta_P} & HH^*(kP) \end{array}$$

Here  $\delta_P$  is the algebra homomorphism induced by the “diagonal induction” functor  $\text{Ind}_{\Delta_P}^{P \times P}$  (cf. [15, 4.5]) and  $T_{kGi}$  is the normalised transfer map defined in [15, 3.1], with respect to the  $kGb - kP$ -bimodule  $kGi$ ; this makes sense as the relative projective element  $\pi_{kGi}$  is invertible (see [15, 3.1] and [15, 5.6]). By [15, 5.6(iii)] again, the image of  $\nu$  lies actually in the subalgebra  $HH_{kGi}^*(kGb)$  of  $kGi$ -stable elements in  $HH^*(kGb)$  (cf. [15, 3.1(iii)]). Similarly, by [15, 5.6(ii)], the image of  $\delta_P \circ \iota$  is contained in the subalgebra  $HH_{ikG}^*(kP)$  of  $ikG$ -stable elements in  $HH^*(kP)$ ; here  $ikG$  is viewed as  $kP - kGb$ -bimodule. Since on these subalgebras of stable elements, the normalised transfer  $T_{ikG}$  is inverse to the normalised transfer  $T_{kGi}$  by [15, 3.6 (iii)], we may reverse the right vertical arrow in the preceding diagram, in order to get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(G, b) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & HH^*(kGb) \\ \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow T_{ikG} \\ H^*(P, k) & \xrightarrow{\delta_P} & HH^*(kP) \end{array}$$

Observe that  $iM \cong ikG \otimes_{kGb} M$ . Thus applying [16, 5.1] to  $kP$ ,  $kGb$ ,  $ikG$  instead of  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $X$ , respectively, yields a commutative diagram of graded algebra homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} HH_{kGi}^*(kGb) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_M} & \text{Ext}_{kGb}^*(M, M) \\ T_{ikG} \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta_M \\ HH_{ikG}^*(kP) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{iM}} & \text{Ext}_{kP}^*(iM, iM) \end{array}$$

The homomorphism  $\beta_M$  is induced by the functor  $ikG \otimes_{kGb} -$ . It follows from the above remarks that by combining the two preceding commutative diagrams we get a commutative diagram of graded algebra homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(G, b) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_M \circ \nu} & \text{Ext}_{kGb}^*(M, M) \\ \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \beta_M \\ H^*(P, k) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Ext}_{kP}^*(iM, iM) \end{array}$$

In this diagram the bottom horizontal arrow is equal to the composition  $\alpha_{iM} \circ \delta_P$ , and this is, by [15, 2.9], equal to the homomorphism induced by tensoring with  $-\otimes_k iM$ .

In other words, the top row in this diagram defines  $V_{G,b}(M)$ , and the bottom row defines  $V_P(iM)$ . In order to conclude the proof of the Theorem, it suffices to show that  $\beta_M$  is injective. This follows from a general property of source idempotents: the canonical map  $kGi \otimes_{kP} ikG \rightarrow kGb$  induced by multiplication in  $kGb$  is split as homomorphism of  $kGb - kGb$ -bimodules. Thus the identity functor is a direct summand of the composition of the “truncated” restriction functor  $ikG \otimes_{kP} -$  and the corresponding induction functor  $kGi \otimes_{kP} -$ . Therefore the composition of the algebra homomorphisms

$$\text{Ext}_{kGb}^*(M, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{kP}^*(iM, iM) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{kGb}^*(kGi \otimes_{kP} iM, kGi \otimes_{kP} iM)$$

induced by the functors  $ikG \otimes_{kGb} -$  and  $kGi \otimes_{kP} -$  is injective. But then in particular the first of the two homomorphisms is injective, and that is just  $\beta_M$ . This proves 2.1.  $\square$

The above result provides a technique to carry over properties of the cohomology varieties at the level of  $p$ -subgroups to the variety  $V_{G,b}(M)$ . We note one easy consequence (which could, of course, also be proved without using 2.1):

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $M, M'$  be finitely generated  $kGb$ -modules. We have*

$$V_{G,b}(M \oplus M') = V_{G,b}(M) \cup V_{G,b}(M')$$

*Proof.* By [5, 5.7.5] we have  $V_P(i(M \oplus M')) = V_P(iM) \cup V_P(iM')$ , and thus 2.2 follows from 2.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $M$  be a finitely generated indecomposable  $kGb$ -module with  $P$  as vertex and a source of dimension prime to  $p$ . Then  $V_{G,b}(M) = V_{G,b}$ .*

*Proof.* By [13, 6.1], some source of  $M$  is a direct summand of  $iM$ . Thus  $V_P(iM) = V_P$  by [5, 5.8.5]. Since  $\iota^* : V_P \rightarrow V_{G,b}$  is surjective by 2.1, the statement follows.  $\square$

**Remark 2.4.** In the situation of Theorem 2.1, it is not true in general that  $V_{G,b}(M)$  coincides with  $\iota^*(\text{Res}_P^G(M))$ ; that is, it really matters to “cut” the module  $M$  down by the source idempotent  $i$ . This phenomenon occurs if  $P$  has more than one conjugacy class of source idempotents, or equivalently, in Puig’s terminology, if  $P$  has more than one local point on  $kGb$  (cf. [18]). We describe an example in Section 5.

### 3 NORM MAPS FOR BISETS

Let  $G$  be a finite group. If  $p$  is odd, we denote by  $H^{\cdot}(G, k)$  the even part of  $H^*(G, k)$ ; if  $p = 2$  we set  $H^{\cdot}(G, k) = H^*(G, k)$ . Thus  $H^{\cdot}(G, k)$  is commutative since  $H^*(G, k)$  is graded commutative (cf. [4, 3.2]). We refer to [5, 4.1] for the definition and general properties of the Evens norm map  $n_H^G : H^{\cdot}(H, k) \rightarrow H^{\cdot}(G, k)$ , where  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . We use this to define for any two finite groups  $P, Q$  and any finite  $P$ - $Q$ -biset  $X$  on which  $P, Q$  act regularly on the left and right, respectively, a norm map

$$n_X : H^{\cdot}(Q, k) \longrightarrow H^{\cdot}(P, k)$$

as follows. If  $X$  is transitive, then  $X$  is isomorphic to a biset of the form  $P \times_{R, \varphi} Q$  for some subgroup  $R$  of  $P$  and an injective group homomorphism  $\varphi : R \rightarrow Q$ . In that case, we set  $n_X = n_R^P \circ \text{res}_{\varphi}$ , and in general, we set

$$n_X = \prod_Y n_Y,$$

where  $Y$  runs over the set of transitive  $P$ - $Q$ -subbisets in  $X$  (and where the product is taken in  $H^{\cdot}(P, k)$ ).

Note that the exact sign of  $n_R^P$  and hence of  $n_X$  depends on the choice of a system of representatives of the right cosets of  $R$  in  $P$  (cf. [5, 4.1]), and so all statements on norm maps hold modulo keeping track of signs (but since the signs are irrelevant in the Propositions 3.4 and 3.5 below we do not insist on this aspect).

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $P, Q, R$  be finite groups, let  $\psi : R \rightarrow P$  be an injective group homomorphism and let  $X$  be a finite  $P$ - $Q$ -biset on which  $P$  and  $Q$  act regularly on the left and on the right, respectively. We have*

$$\text{res}_\psi \circ n_X = n_{\psi X} ,$$

where  $\psi X$  is the  $R$ - $Q$ -biset obtained from restricting  $X$  through  $\psi$  on the left.

*Proof.* We may assume that  $X$  is transitive as  $P$ - $Q$ -biset, and then the result follows from the Mackey formula [5, 4.1.2(v)] for the Evens norm map.  $\square$

For the rest of this section, we fix the following notation. Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $b$  a block of  $kG$ ,  $P$  a defect group of  $b$  and choose a source idempotent  $i \in (kGb)^P$ . For any subgroup  $Q$  of  $P$ , denote by  $e_Q$  the unique block of  $kC_G(Q)$  such that  $\text{Br}_Q(i)e_Q \neq 0$  (cf. [8, 1.8]).

As before, denote by  $\mathcal{F}_{G,b}$  the category whose objects are the subgroups of  $P$  and whose morphisms, for any two subgroups  $Q, R$  of  $P$ , are the group homomorphisms  $\varphi : Q \rightarrow R$  such that there is  $x \in G$  fulfilling  $\varphi(u) = xux^{-1}$  for all  $u \in Q$  and  ${}^x(Q, e_Q) \subseteq (R, e_R)$ . In particular, the automorphism group of  $Q$  in  $\mathcal{F}_{G,b}$  corresponds to  $N_G(Q, e_Q)/C_G(Q)$ . Since inner automorphisms of  $Q$  act trivially on  $H^*(Q, k)$ , the action of  $N_G(Q, e_Q)$  on  $H^*(Q, k)$  induces an action of the group  $W(Q) = N_G(Q, e_Q)/QC_G(Q)$  on  $H^*(Q, k)$ .

The following result is due to Broto, Levi and Oliver:

**Proposition 3.2.** ([6]) *With the notation above, there is a finite  $P$ - $P$ -biset  $X$  with the following properties.*

- (i) *Every transitive subbiset of  $X$  is isomorphic to  $P \times_{\varphi} P$  for some subgroup  $Q$  of  $P$  and some group homomorphism  $\varphi : Q \rightarrow P$  belonging to the category  $\mathcal{F}_{G,b}$ .*
- (ii)  *$|X|/|P|$  is prime to  $p$ .*
- (iii) *For any subgroup  $Q$  of  $P$  and any group homomorphism  $\varphi : Q \rightarrow P$  in  $\mathcal{F}_{G,b}$ , the  $Q$ - $P$ -bisets  ${}_{\varphi}X$  and  ${}_QX$  are isomorphic.*

The original motivation for constructing such a biset is an observation by Linckelmann and Webb, that its existence implies the existence of a stable summand  $\hat{B}(G, b)$  of the classifying space  $BP_+^{\wedge}$  viewed as  $p$ -complete spectrum such that the cohomology of  $\hat{B}(G, b)$  with coefficients in  $k$  is precisely the block cohomology  $H^*(G, b)$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a finite  $P$ - $P$ -biset fulfilling the conditions in 3.2. Then, for any subgroup  $Q$  of  $P$ , there is a  $Q$ - $Q$ -subbiset of  ${}_QX_Q$  isomorphic to  $Q$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $X$  has a  $P$ - $P$ -subbiset isomorphic to  $P$ . By 3.2(i) and 3.2(ii),  $X$  has a  $P$ - $P$ -subbiset isomorphic to  ${}_{\varphi}P$  for some automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $P$  in  $\mathcal{F}_{G,b}$ . The stability condition 3.2(iii) implies the result.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $X$  be a finite  $P$ - $P$ -biset fulfilling the conditions in 3.2, let  $Q$  be a subgroup of  $P$  and let  $Y$  be the  $Q$ - $Q$ -subbiset of  ${}_Q X_Q$  which is the union of all  $Q$ - $Q$ -orbits of length  $|Q|$ .*

(i) *The image of the norm map  $n_{X_Q} : H^\cdot(Q, k) \rightarrow H^\cdot(P, k)$  is contained in  $H^\cdot(G, b)$ .*

(ii) *The set  $Y$  is non empty.*

(iii) *For any  $\zeta \in H^\cdot(Q, k)^{W(Q)}$  such that  $\text{res}_R^Q(\zeta) = 0$  for any proper subgroup  $R$  of  $Q$  we have  $n_{{}_Q X_Q}(\zeta) = n_Y(\zeta)$ .*

(iv) *For any  $\zeta \in H^\cdot(Q, k)^{W(Q)}$  we have  $n_Y(\zeta) = \zeta^{|Y|/|Q|}$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Let  $R$  be a subgroup of  $P$  and let  $\varphi : R \rightarrow P$  be a group homomorphism in  $\mathcal{F}_{G,b}$ . Using 3.1 and 3.2(iii), we get  $\text{res}_\varphi \circ n_{X_Q} = n_{\varphi X_Q} = n_{R X_Q} = \text{res}_R^P \circ n_{X_Q}$ .

(ii) follows from 3.3.

(iii) Any  $Q$ - $Q$ -orbit of  ${}_Q X_Q$  outside  $Y$  is isomorphic to  $Q \times_{\psi, R} Q$  for some proper subgroup  $R$  of  $Q$  and some group homomorphism  $\psi : R \rightarrow Q$  in  $\mathcal{F}_{G,b}$ , from which the statement follows.

(iv) The number of  $Q$ - $Q$ -orbits in  $Y$  is equal to  $|Y|/|Q|$ , and any such orbit is isomorphic to  ${}_\varphi Q$  for some automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $Q$  in  $\mathcal{F}_{G,b}$ . Moreover, for any  $\zeta \in H^\cdot(Q, k)^{W(Q)}$  we have then  $n_{\varphi Q}(\zeta) = \zeta$ , from which the result follows.  $\square$

We apply this to translate [4, 5.6.2] to block cohomology.

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $X$  be a finite  $P$ - $P$ -biset fulfilling the conditions in 3.2. Let  $E$  be an elementary abelian subgroup of  $P$  and let  $\sigma_E$  be a homogeneous element in  $H^\cdot(E, k)$  satisfying  $\text{res}_F^E(\sigma_E) = 0$  for any proper subgroup  $F$  of  $E$ . Let  $Y$  be the  $E$ - $E$ -subbiset of  ${}_E X_E$  which is the union of all  $E$ - $E$ -orbits of length  $|E|$ . Write  $|Y|/|E| = p^a m$  for some nonnegative intergers  $a, m$ , such that  $(p, m) = 1$ .*

(i) *For any  $\eta \in H^\cdot(E, k)^{W(E)}$  there is  $\eta' \in H^\cdot(G, b)$  such that  $r_E(\eta') = (\sigma_E \cdot \eta)^{p^a}$ .*

(ii) *There is an element  $\rho_E \in H^\cdot(G, b)$  such that  $r_E(\rho_E) = (\sigma_E)^{p^a}$  and such that  $r_F(\rho_E) = 0$  whenever  $F$  is an elementary abelian subgroup of  $P$  such that no  $G$ -conjugate of  $(E, e_E)$  is contained in  $(F, e_F)$ .*

*Proof.* (i) We may assume that  $\eta$  is homogeneous. Set  $\zeta = n_{X_E}(1 + \sigma_E \cdot \eta)$ . By 3.4, we have  $\zeta \in H^\cdot(G, b)$ . Moreover,  $r_E(\zeta) = n_{{}_E X_E}(1 + \sigma_E \cdot \eta) = n_Y(1 + \sigma_E \cdot \eta) = (1 + \sigma_E \cdot \eta)^{p^a m} = (1 + (\sigma_E \cdot \eta)^{p^a})^m = 1 + m(\sigma_E \cdot \eta)^{p^a} + \tau$ , where  $\tau$  is a sum of elements of degree stricly bigger than  $\deg((\sigma_E \cdot \eta)^{p^a}) = p^a \cdot \deg(\sigma_E \cdot \eta)$ . Define  $\eta'$  to be the homogeneous part of  $\zeta$  in degree  $p^a \cdot \deg(\sigma_E \cdot \eta)$ , divided by  $m$ .

(ii) Applying (i) to  $\eta = 1$  yields a homogeneous element  $\rho_E \in H^\cdot(G, b)$  such that  $r_E(\rho_E) = (\sigma_E)^{p^a}$ . By the construction in (i),  $\rho_E$  is a scalar multiple of the homogenous part of  $n_{X_E}(1 + \sigma_E)$  in degree  $p^a \cdot \deg(\sigma_E)$ . Let  $F$  be another elementary abelian subgroup of  $P$ . Then  $r_F(\rho_E)$  is a scalar multiple of the homogeneous part of  $n_{{}_F X_E}(1 + \sigma_E)$  in degree  $p^a \cdot \deg(\sigma_E)$ . If  $(E, e_E)$  has no  $G$ -conjugate contained in  $(F, e_F)$ , then the biset  ${}_F X_E$  is a union of transitive bisets of the form  $F \times_{\psi, H} E$ , where  $H$  is a subgroup of  $F$  of order smaller than  $|E|$ , and where  $\psi : H \rightarrow E$  is an injective group homomorphism. Thus  $n_{{}_F X_E}(\sigma_E) = 0$ , and so  $r_F(\rho_E) = 0$ .  $\square$



4 THE QUILLEN STRATIFICATION OF  $V_{G,b}(M)$ 

Throughout this section, let  $G$  be a finite group, let  $b$  be a block of  $kG$  and let  $P$  be a defect group of  $b$ . Choose a source idempotent  $i \in (kGb)^P$  and denote, for any subgroup  $Q$  of  $P$ , by  $e_Q$  the unique block of  $kC_G(Q)$  satisfying  $\text{Br}_Q(i)e_Q = \text{Br}_Q(i)$ . For any subgroup  $Q$  of  $P$ , the graded algebra homomorphism  $r_Q$  (which is the inclusion  $H^*(G, b) \hookrightarrow H^*(P, k)$  followed by the restriction map  $\text{res}_Q^P : H^*(P, k) \rightarrow H^*(Q, k)$ ) induces a morphism of varieties

$$r_Q^* : V_Q \longrightarrow V_{G,b}.$$

Recall that since  $H^*(P, k)$  is Noetherian over  $H^*(G, b)$  by [16, 4.3], the morphism  $r_P^* = \iota^* : V_P \rightarrow V_{G,b}$  is finite surjective. We will try to follow as closely as possible the lines of the presentation given in Benson [5, 5.6]; there are two major technical adjustments: the extensive use of Puig's notion of local pointed groups [18] (for which we refer again to the account given in Thévenaz [21]) and the application of the Evens norm map with respect to a biset fulfilling the conditions in 3.2.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $kGb$ -module. For any local pointed group  $Q_\delta$  on  $kGb$ , we define the following subvarieties of  $V_Q$ :

$$V_Q^+ = V_Q - \bigcup_{R < Q} (\text{res}_R^Q)^*(V_R), \quad V_Q^+(iM) = V_Q(iM) \cap V_Q^+;$$

$$V_{Q_\delta}(M) = V_Q(jM), \text{ where } j \in \delta, \quad V_{Q_\delta}^+(M) = V_{Q_\delta}(M) \cap V_Q^+.$$

Furthermore, we define the following subvarieties of  $V_{G,b}$ :

$$V_{G,Q} = r_Q^*(V_Q), \quad V_{G,Q}^+ = r_Q^*(V_Q^+);$$

$$V_{G,Q}(M) = r_Q^*(V_Q(iM)), \quad V_{G,Q}^+(M) = r_Q^*(V_Q^+(iM)).$$

$$V_{G,Q_\delta}(M) = r_{Q_\delta}^*(V_{Q_\delta}(M)), \quad V_{G,Q_\delta}^+(M) = r_{Q_\delta}^*(V_{Q_\delta}^+(M)).$$

Finally, we set

$$W(Q) = N_G(Q, e_Q)/QC_G(Q) \text{ and } W(Q_\delta) = N_G(Q_\delta)/QC_G(Q).$$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $kGb$ -module.*

(i) *The variety  $V_{G,b}(M)$  is the disjoint union of the locally closed subvarieties  $V_{G,E}^+(M)$ , where  $E$  runs over a set of subgroups of  $P$  such that  $(E, e_E)$  runs over a set of representatives of the  $G$ -conjugacy classes of those  $b$ -Brauer pairs contained in  $(P, e_P)$  for which  $E$  is elementary abelian and  $C_P(E)$  is a defect group of the block  $e_E$ .*

(ii) *Let  $E$  be an elementary abelian subgroup of  $P$  such that  $C_P(E)$  is a defect group of  $e_E$ . The group  $W(E)$  acts on the variety  $V_E^+(iM)$ , and  $r_E^*$  induces an inseparable isogeny  $V_E^+(iM)/W(E) \rightarrow V_{G,E}^+(M)$ .*

(iii) *Let  $E$  be an elementary abelian subgroup of  $P$  such that  $C_P(E)$  is a defect group of  $e_E$ . Then  $V_{G,E}^+(M)$  is the union of the subvarieties  $V_{G,E_\delta}^+(M)$ , with  $\delta$  running over the set of local points of  $E$  on  $kGb$  such that  $E_\delta \subseteq P_\gamma$ .*

If one specialises the above theorem to the principal block of  $kG$ , the statements (i) and (ii) in 4.2 are equivalent to the Quillen stratification due to Avrunin and Scott [3]. Since a subgroup  $E$  of  $P$  can have more than one local point on  $kGb$ , statement (iii) gives some additional information on the subvarieties  $V_{G,E}^+(M)$ .

If one specialises 4.2 to the case where  $M$  is indecomposable with  $P$  as vertex and a source of dimension prime to  $p$ , then, by 2.4, we have  $V_{G,b}(M) = V_{G,b}$ , and thus 4.2 yields a stratification for the block variety  $V_{G,b}$ . The following Proposition describes in that case the subvarieties  $V_{G,b}^+(M)$  more precisely:

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $M$  be a finitely generated indecomposable  $kGb$ -module with  $P$  as vertex and a source of dimension prime to  $p$ .*

- (i) *For any subgroup  $Q$  of  $P$  we have  $V_Q(iM) = V_Q$  and  $V_Q^+(iM) = V_Q^+$ .*
- (ii) *For any subgroup  $Q$  of  $P$  we have  $V_{G,Q}(M) = V_{G,Q}$  and  $V_{G,Q}^+(M) = V_{G,Q}^+$ .*

*Proof.* By [13, 6.1], some indecomposable direct summand of  $iM$  as  $kP$ -module is a source of  $M$ . Thus, for any subgroup  $Q$  of  $P$ , the restriction of  $iM$  to  $kQ$  has a direct summand of dimension prime to  $p$ , by the assumptions. But then  $V_Q(iM) = V_Q$  (cf. [5, 5.8.5]), and the second equality in (i) follows from the first. The two equalities in (ii) follow from applying  $r_Q^*$  to the equalities in (i).  $\square$

Combining 4.2 and 4.3 yields the obvious analogue for block cohomology of Quillen's stratification in [19, 20]. We break up the proof of 4.2 into a series of Lemmas; we keep the notation introduced above.

**Lemma 4.4.** *We have  $V_{G,b}(M) = \bigcup_E r_E^*(V_E(iM))$ , where  $E$  runs over the set of elementary abelian subgroups of  $P$ .*

*Proof.* By 2.1, we have  $V_{G,b}(M) = \iota^*(V_P(iM))$ . Thus the Lemma follows from [5, 5.7.4] applied to  $P$  and  $iM$  instead of  $G$  and  $M$ , respectively.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *For any subgroup  $Q$  of  $P$  and any idempotent  $i' \in (kGb)^Q$  we have  $V_Q(i'M) = \bigcup_{R_\epsilon} (\text{res}_R^Q)^*(V_{R_\epsilon}(M))$ , where  $R_\epsilon$  runs over the set of local pointed groups on  $i'kGi'$  such that  $R \subseteq Q$ .*

*Proof.* Choose a primitive decomposition  $J$  of  $i'$  in  $(kGb)^Q$ . That is,  $i' = \sum_{j \in J} j$ , and the elements of  $J$  are pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents in  $(kGb)^Q$ . Thus  $i'M = \bigoplus_{j \in J} jM$  as direct sum of  $kQ$ -modules, and hence  $V_Q(i'M) = \bigcup_{j \in J} V_Q(jM)$ . Let  $j \in J$ . Then the conjugacy class of  $j$  in  $((i'kGi')^Q)^\times$  is a (not necessarily local) point  $\delta$  of  $Q$  on  $i'kGi'$ . Let  $R_\epsilon$  be a defect pointed group of  $Q_\delta$ . By [17, Cor. 1] (see also [21, (23.1)]), this means that there is  $l \in \epsilon$  such that  $j = \text{Tr}_R^Q(l)$  and such that the different  $Q$ -conjugates  $ulu^{-1}$  of  $l$  are pairwise orthogonal as  $u$  runs over a set of representatives of the right  $R$ -cosets in  $Q$ . Thus  $jM \cong \text{Ind}_R^Q(lM)$ , and therefore  $V_Q(jM) = (\text{res}_R^Q)^*(V_R(lM))$ , from which the Lemma follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.** *For any local pointed group  $Q_\delta$  on  $kGb$  we have*

$$V_{Q_\delta}^+(M) = V_{Q_\delta}(M) - \bigcup_{R_\epsilon} (\text{res}_R^Q)^*(V_{R_\epsilon}(M)) ,$$

where  $R_\epsilon$  runs over the set of local pointed groups on  $kGb$  properly contained in  $Q_\delta$ .

*Proof.* By [5, 5.7.7] we have  $(\text{res}_R^Q)^*(V_R(jM)) = (\text{res}_R^Q)^*(V_R) \cap V_Q(jM)$ , where  $j \in \delta$  and where  $R$  is any subgroup of  $Q$ . Thus  $V_{Q_\delta}^+(M) = V_Q^+ \cap V_Q(jM) = V_Q(jM) - \bigcup_{R < Q} ((\text{res}_R^Q)^*(V_R) \cap V_Q(jM)) = V_Q(jM) - \bigcup_{R < Q} (\text{res}_R^Q)^*(V_R(jM))$ , and now the Lemma follows from 4.5 applied to the varieties  $V_R(jM)$  appearing in the last expression.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $Q_\delta, R_\epsilon$  be local pointed groups on  $kGb$  contained in  $P_\gamma$ . If  $Q_\delta$  and  $R_\epsilon$  are  $G$ -conjugate, then  $V_{G,Q_\delta}(M) = V_{G,R_\epsilon}(M)$  and  $V_{G,Q_\delta}^+(M) = V_{G,R_\epsilon}^+(M)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in G$  such that  $R_\epsilon = {}^x(Q_\delta)$ . Then the group isomorphism  $\varphi : Q \rightarrow R$  mapping  $u \in Q$  to  $xux^{-1}$  has the property that  $\text{res}_Q^P(\zeta) = \text{res}_\varphi(\zeta)$  for all  $\zeta \in H^*(G, b)$ , and thus the morphisms  $r_Q^* \circ (\text{res}_\varphi)^*$  and  $r_R^*$  from  $V_R$  to  $V_{G,b}$  are equal. Thus  $V_{G,Q_\delta}(M) = V_{G,R_\epsilon}(M)$ . The second equality is clear.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.8.** *We have  $V_{G,b}(M) = \bigcup_{E_\delta} V_{G,E_\delta}^+(M)$ , where  $E_\delta$  runs over a set of representatives of the set of  $G$ -conjugacy classes of local pointed groups on  $kGb$  such that  $E_\delta \subseteq P_\gamma$  and such that  $E$  is elementary abelian.*

*Proof.* By 4.4 and 4.6, the variety  $V_{G,b}(M)$  is the union of the subvarieties  $V_{G,E_\delta}^+(M)$ , where  $E_\delta$  runs over the set of all local pointed groups on  $kGb$  contained in  $P_\gamma$  such that  $E$  is elementary abelian. The Lemma follows now from 4.7.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.9.** *For any subgroup  $Q$  of  $P$  we have  $V_Q^+(iM) = \bigcup_\delta V_{Q_\delta}^+(M)$  and  $V_{G,Q}^+(M) = \bigcup_\delta V_{G,Q_\delta}^+(M)$ , where  $\delta$  runs over the set of local points of  $Q$  on  $kGb$  such that  $Q_\delta \subseteq P_\gamma$ .*

*Proof.* By 4.5 we have  $V_Q(iM) = \bigcup_{R_\epsilon} (\text{res}_R^Q)^*(V_{R_\epsilon}(M))$ , where  $R_\epsilon$  runs over the set of local pointed groups on  $kGb$  such that  $R_\epsilon \subseteq P_\gamma$  and  $R \subseteq Q$ . Intersecting with  $V_Q^+$  yields the first equality, and applying  $r_Q^*$  yields the second equality.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.10.** *We have  $V_{G,b}(M) = \bigcup_E V_{G,E}^+(M)$ , and in particular,  $V_{G,b} = \bigcup_E V_{G,E}^+$ , where  $E$  runs over a set of subgroups of  $P$  such that  $(E, e_E)$  runs over a set of representatives of the  $G$ -conjugacy classes of  $b$ -Brauer pairs contained in  $(P, e_P)$  for which  $E$  is elementary abelian and  $C_P(E)$  is a defect group of  $e_E$ .*

*Proof.* Any  $b$ -Brauer pair is  $G$ -conjugate to a  $b$ -Brauer pair of the form  $(Q, e_Q)$  for some subgroup  $Q$  of  $P$  such that  $C_P(Q)$  is a defect group of  $e_Q$  (see [1, 4.5]). Thus the first equality follows from combining 4.8 and 4.9, and the second equality follows from the first and 4.3.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.11.** *Let  $Q$  be a subgroup of  $P$  such that  $C_P(Q)$  is a defect group of the block  $e_Q$ . The action of  $N_G(Q, e_Q)$  on  $H^*(Q, k)$  induces an action of  $W(Q)$  on  $V_Q$  and  $V_Q^+$ , which preserves the subvariety  $V_Q^+(iM)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $QC_G(Q)$  acts trivially on  $H^*(Q, k)$ , the action of  $N_G(Q_\delta)$  induces an action of  $W(Q_\delta)$  on  $V_Q$ . This action preserves  $V_Q^+$ . The action of the group  $N_G(Q, e_Q)$

permutes the set of all local points of  $Q$  on  $kGb$  satisfying  $\text{Br}_Q(\delta)e_Q \neq 0$ . Since  $C_P(Q)$  is a defect group of  $e_Q$ , by [14, 3.3(iii)], for any local point  $\delta$  of  $Q$  on  $kGb$  such that  $\text{Br}_Q(\delta)e_Q \neq 0$  we have  $Q_\delta \subseteq P_\gamma$ . Thus  $N_G(Q, e_Q)$  acts on the set of local points  $\delta$  of  $Q$  on  $kGb$  fulfilling  $Q_\delta \subseteq P_\gamma$ , and now 4.11 follows from 4.9.  $\square$

We have set up the machinery in such a way that the rest of the proof of 4.2 follows now exactly that of [5, 5.6.3].

*Proof of Theorem 4.2.* Let  $E$  be an elementary abelian subgroup of  $P$  such that  $C_P(E)$  is a defect group of  $e_E$ . By the argument in [5, 5.6], there is a homogeneous element  $\sigma_E \in H^\cdot(E, k)^{W(E)}$  such that  $V_E^+$  consists of all maximal ideals in  $H^\cdot(E, k)$  not containing  $\sigma_E$ , and such that  $\text{res}_F^E(\sigma_E) = 0$  for any proper subgroup  $F$  of  $E$ . Thus  $V_E^+$  can be identified to the maximal ideal spectrum of the algebra  $H^\cdot(E, k)[\sigma_E^{-1}]$ , obtained from localising  $H^\cdot(E, k)$  at  $\sigma_E$ . By [5, 5.4.8], the quotient  $V_E^+/W(E)$  can be identified to the maximal ideal spectrum of  $(H^\cdot(E, k)[\sigma_E^{-1}])^{W(E)}$ . Let  $\rho_E$  be the element in  $H^\cdot(G, b)$  fulfilling 3.5(iv). Then  $V_{G,E}^+$  consists of all maximal ideals in  $H^\cdot(G, b)$  containing  $\ker(r_E)$  and not containing  $\rho_E$ . Since  $r_E$  maps  $\rho_E$  to a power of  $\sigma_E$ ,  $r_E$  induces an algebra homomorphism

$$H^\cdot(G, b)[\rho_E^{-1}] \longrightarrow (H^\cdot(E, k)[\sigma_E^{-1}])^{W(E)}$$

such that, by 3.5, the image of this homomorphism contains a  $p^a$ -th power of every element in  $(H^\cdot(E, k)[\sigma_E^{-1}])^{W(E)}$ . Upon taking varieties, this is equivalent to saying that  $r_E^*$  induces an inseparable isogeny  $V_E^+/W(E) \rightarrow V_{G,E}^+$ . Since  $W(E)$  acts on the subvariety  $V_E^+(iM)$  by 4.11, passing down to subvarieties proves (ii).

If  $F$  is another elementary abelian subgroup of  $P$  such that  $C_P(F)$  is a defect group of  $e_F$  and such that  $(F, e_F)$  contains no  $G$ -conjugate of  $(E, e_E)$ , then  $\rho_F \in \ker(r_E)$  by 3.5. By the above description of  $V_{G,E}^+$ , it follows that  $V_{G,E}^+$  and  $V_{G,F}^+$  are disjoint. Since  $V_{G,E}^+(M)$  is a subvariety of  $V_{G,E}^+$ , this concludes the proof of (i). Finally, statement (iii) is a particular case of 4.9.  $\square$

## 5 AN EXAMPLE

Let  $p$  be a prime such that  $p \geq 5$  and let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p$  containing a primitive  $3^{rd}$  root of unity. For any positive integer  $n$  denote by  $C_n$  a cyclic group of order  $n$ . Let  $Q$  be a finite non trivial abelian  $p$ -group and set  $P = Q \times Q$ . We consider the group

$$G = (C_3 \times P) \rtimes C_2,$$

where the non trivial element  $t$  of  $C_2$  acts on  $C_3 \times P$  by inverting the elements of  $C_3$  and by exchanging the two factors  $Q$  of  $P$ ; that is,  $(u, v)^t = (v, u)$  for any  $(u, v) \in Q \times Q = P$ .

Since the Sylow- $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  is normal,  $P$  is the defect group of any block of  $kG$ . The blocks of  $kG$  correspond bijectively to the  $G$ -orbits of blocks of  $kC_G(P)$ . Since  $C_G(P) = C_3 \times P$ , the algebra  $kC_G(P)$  has three  $p$ -blocks  $e_0, e, e'$ , corresponding to the linear characters  $\zeta_0, \zeta, \zeta'$  of  $C_3$  with values in  $k$ , where we choose notation such that  $e_0$  is the principal block and hence  $\zeta_0$  is the trivial character of  $C_3$ . Then  $e_0$  is

$G$ -stable, while the two blocks  $e, e'$  get permuted by the action of the involution  $t$ . It follows that  $kG$  has exactly two blocks, namely the principal block  $b_0 = e_0$  and a unique non principal block  $b = e + e'$ .

The structure of the principal block  $b_0$  of  $kG$  is as follows: the canonical map  $G \rightarrow P \rtimes C_2$  with kernel  $C_3 = O_{p'}(G)$  induces an algebra isomorphism  $kGb_0 \cong k(P \rtimes C_2)$ .

The non principal block  $b$  of  $kG$  is a nilpotent block (cf. [8]): since  $t$  permutes  $e$  and  $e'$ , we have  $N_G(P, e) = C_G(P)$ . The pairs  $(P, e)$  and  $(P, e')$  are exactly the two maximal  $b$ -Brauer pairs. The idempotents  $e, e'$  are in fact source idempotents; that is, they remain primitive in  $(kGb)^P$ . To see this, observe first that  $e$  and  $e' = e^t$  are orthogonal, and therefore  $ete = 0$ . Thus  $ekGe = kC_G(P)e$ . Since  $kC_3e \cong k$  we have  $kC_G(P)e \cong kP$ . This shows not only, that  $e$  is primitive in  $(kGb)^P$ , but also that the source algebra  $ekGe$  of  $b$  is isomorphic to  $kP$ . The same argument works for  $e'$ .

Since  $b$  is nilpotent, in particular the block cohomology of  $b$  is isomorphic to  $H^*(P, k)$ .

We define an indecomposable  $kGb$ -module  $M$  as follows. Consider  $kQ \otimes_k k$  as  $kP$ -module through the canonical isomorphism  $kP \cong kQ \otimes_k kQ$ . Extend  $kQ \otimes_k k$  to a  $kC_G(P)$ -module by letting act any element  $c \in C_3$  as multiplication with the scalar  $\zeta(c)$ . In this way,  $kQ \otimes_k k$  becomes an indecomposable  $kC_G(Q)$ -module belonging to the block  $e$ . Set

$$M = \text{Ind}_{C_G(P)}^G(kQ \otimes_k k) .$$

By Mackey's formula,  $\text{Res}_{C_G(P)}^G(M) \cong (kQ \otimes_k k) \oplus {}^t(kQ \otimes_k k) \cong (kQ \otimes_k k) \oplus (k \otimes_k kQ)$ . Since  $t$  exchanges these two summands, which are both indecomposable as  $kC_G(P)$ -modules, it follows that  $M$  is indeed indecomposable. Moreover, as  $kP$ -modules, we have

$$eM \cong kQ \otimes_k k \quad \text{and} \quad e'M \cong k \otimes_k kQ .$$

Through the Künneth isomorphism  $H^*(P, k) \cong H^*(Q, k) \otimes_k H^*(Q, k)$ , the ideal  $H^+(Q, k) \otimes_k H^*(Q, k)$  is the annihilator of  $\text{Ext}_{kP}^*(eM, eM)$ , while the annihilator of  $\text{Ext}_{kP}^*(e'M, e'M)$  is  $H^*(Q, k) \otimes_k H^+(Q, k)$ , where  $H^+(Q, k)$  denotes the ideal generated by the elements of positive degree in  $H^*(Q, k)$ . Thus  $V_P(eM)$  and  $V_P(e'M)$  are different subvarieties of  $H^*(P, k) = H^*(G, b)$ , or equivalently,  $V_P(M) \neq V_P(eM)$ .

## REFERENCES

1. J. L. Alperin, M. Broué, *Local methods in block theory*, Ann. Math. **110** (1979), 143–157.
2. J. L. Alperin, L. Evens, *Representations, resolutions and Quillen's dimension theorem*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **22** (1981), 1–9.
3. G. S. Avrunin, L. L. Scott, *Quillen stratification for modules*, Invent. Math. **66** (1982), 277–286.
4. D. J. Benson, *Representations and Cohomology I*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1991.
5. D. J. Benson, *Representations and Cohomology II*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1991.
6. C. Broto, R. Levi, R. Oliver, *The homotopy theory of fusion systems*, preprint (2001).

7. M. Broué, L. Puig, *Characters and local structure in  $G$ -algebras*, J. Algebra **63** (1980), 306–317.
8. M. Broué, L. Puig, *A Frobenius theorem for blocks*, Invent. Math. **56** (1980), 117–128.
9. J. F. Carlson, *The complexity and varieties of modules*, in: Lecture Notes in Mathematics **882** (1981), 415–422.
10. J. F. Carlson, *Varieties and the cohomology ring of a module*, J. Algebra **85** (1983), 104–143.
11. H. Cartan, S. Eilenberg, *Homological Algebra*, Princeton University Press, 1956.
12. L. Evens, *The cohomology ring of a finite group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **101** (1961), 224–239.
13. M. Linckelmann, *The source algebras of blocks with a Klein four defect group*, J. Algebra **167** (1994), 821–854.
14. M. Linckelmann, *On derived equivalences and local structure of blocks of finite groups*, Turkish J. Math. **22** (1998), 93–107.
15. M. Linckelmann, *Transfer in Hochschild cohomology of blocks of finite groups*, Algebras Rep. Theory **2** (1999), 107–135.
16. M. Linckelmann, *Varieties in block theory*, J. Algebra **215** (1999), 460–480.
17. L. Puig, *Sur un Théorème de Green*, Math. Z. **166** (1979), 117–129.
18. L. Puig, *Pointed groups and construction of characters*, Math. Z. **176** (1981), 265–292.
19. D. Quillen, *The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring I*, Ann. Math. **94** (1971), 549–572.
20. D. Quillen, *The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring II*, Ann. Math. **94** (1971), 573–602.
21. J. Thévenaz,  *$G$ -algebras and Modular Representation Theory*, Oxford Science Publications, Clarendon, Oxford, 1995.
22. B. B. Venkov, *Cohomology algebras for some classifying spaces*, (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR **127** (1959), 943–944.
23. B. B. Venkov, *Characteristic classes for finite groups*, (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR **137** (1961), 1274–1277.

MARKUS LINCKELMANN  
CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS 7  
UFR MATHÉMATIQUES  
2, PLACE JUSSIEU  
75251 PARIS CEDEX 05  
FRANCE